


PUBLIKASI ARTIKEL ILMIAH



ASSOC. PROF. DR. EDY HERIANTO MAJLAN, AMICHEME



<https://www.facebook.com/edyhm71>



edyhm71@gmail.com




+60166802295




+60166802295/+6282189280306


THE EVOLUTION OF ACADEMIA



“Publish or Perish!” – A Call to Action





Why researchers publish their work




Key Perspectives Ltd

Publish or perish






Increased competition







Relative growth from 100% baseline in 1990

Publishing timeline

Submission to publication, 3–12 months



PEMILIHAN JURNAL



Pemilihan jurnal


- Apa fokus utama penelitian?
(tujuan & cakupan)
- Siapa yang akan tertarik?
(target pembaca)
- Seberapa signifikan hasilnya?
(pengaruh)
- Dimana artikel serupa telah dipublikasikan?



Pemilihan jurnal

Faktor yang perlu dipertimbangkan

- ✓ Sesuai dengan bidang
- ✓ Frekuensi Penerbitan
- ✓ *Impact Factor*
- ✓ Target pembaca
- ✓ Tujuan dan ruang lingkup
- ✓ Akses terbuka atau sistem pelanggan
- ✓ Biaya
- ✓ Jenis publikasi





- ❑ Baca "**Guide for Authors**" dengan cermat
- ❑ Manuskrip yang bagus **bisa ditolak** jika tidak dalam ruang lingkup jurnal

GUIDE FOR AUTHORS

Your Paper Your Way

We now differentiate between the requirements for new and revised submissions. You submit your manuscript as a single Word or PDF file to be used in the refereeing process. If your paper is at the revision stage, will you be requested to put your paper in to a new file for acceptance and provide the items required for the publication of your article.

To find out more, please visit the Preparation section below.

INTRODUCTION

Types of Paper

The *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* accepts research papers, review papers and communications.

Research papers:

- A research paper presents the results of investigations on a relevant subject matter.
- The length should not normally exceed 8000 words and 12 diagrams - this is approximately 12 journal pages.
- Guide for Authors should be followed.

Review Papers:

- A review paper succinctly reviews recent progress on a specific subject of active research and summarize the current state of knowledge of the topic by discussing the findings presented in recent research papers.
- The length should not normally exceed 12000 words and 20 diagrams - this is approximately 18 journal pages.
- Guide for Authors should be followed.

Short communications:

- A short communication informs the scientific community about recent research, which you wish to publish as quickly as possible, without writing a detailed full length research paper.
- The length should not normally exceed 3000 words and 4 diagrams - this is approximately 4 journal pages.
- Guide for Authors should be followed.

Submission checklist

You can use this list to carry out a final check of your submission before you send it for review. Please check the relevant section in this Guide for Authors for more details.

Ensure that the following items are present:

One author has been designated as the corresponding author with contact details:

- E-mail address
- Full postal address

All necessary files have been uploaded:

Manuscript:

- Include keywords
- All figures (include relevant captions)
- All tables (including titles, description, footnotes)
- Ensure all figure and table citations in the text match the files provided
- Indicate clearly if color should be used for any figures in print

Graphical Abstracts / Highlights files (where applicable)

Supplemental files (where applicable)

Further considerations

- Manuscript has been 'spell checked' and 'grammar checked'
- All references mentioned in the Reference List are cited in the text, and vice versa
- Permission has been obtained for use of copyrighted material from other sources (e.g. Internet)

Formatting requirements

There are no strict formatting requirements but all manuscripts must contain the information needed to convey your manuscript, for example Abstract, Keywords, Introduction, Methods, Results, Conclusions, Artwork and Tables with Captions.

If your article includes any Videos and/or other Supplementary material, this should be included in your initial submission for peer review purposes.

Divide the article into clearly defined sections.

Figures and tables embedded in text

Please ensure the figures and the tables included in the single file are placed next to the text in the manuscript, rather than at the bottom or the top of the file. The corresponding captions should be placed directly below the figure or table.

Peer review

This journal operates a single blind review process. All contributions will be initially reviewed by an editor for suitability for the journal. Papers deemed suitable are then typically sent to two independent expert reviewers to assess the scientific quality of the paper. The Editor's decision is final for the final decision regarding acceptance or rejection of articles. The Editor's decision is final. For more information on types of peer review.

REVISED SUBMISSIONS

Use of word processing software

Regardless of the file format of the original submission, at revision you must provide an editable file of the entire article. Keep the layout of the text as simple as possible. All codes will be removed and replaced on processing the article. The electronic text should be in a way very similar to that of conventional manuscripts (see also the [Guide for Authors Elsevier](#)). See also the section on Electronic artwork.

To avoid unnecessary errors you are strongly advised to use the 'spell-check' and 'grammar-check' functions of your word processor.

LaTeX

You are recommended to use the Elsevier article class `elsarticle.cls` to prepare your manuscript. Use BibTeX to generate your bibliography.

Our [LaTeX site](#) has detailed submission instructions, templates and other information.

Article structure

Essential title page information

Title. Concise and informative. Titles are often used in information-retrieval systems. Use abbreviations and formulae where possible.

Author names and affiliations. Where the family name may be ambiguous (e.g. 'Smith'), please indicate this clearly. Present the authors' affiliation addresses (where they differ from the address to which proofs should be sent) below the names. Indicate all affiliations with a lower-case superscript letter to the author's name and in front of the appropriate address. Provide the full postal address, including the country name, and, if available, the e-mail address of each author.

Corresponding author. Clearly indicate who is willing to handle correspondence, including refereeing and publication, also post-publication. **Ensure that telephone and fax numbers, and country and area code) are provided in addition to the e-mail address and postal address.**

Present/permanent address. If an author has moved since the work described in the paper, or was visiting at the time, a "Present address" (or "Permanent address") should be given as a footnote to that author's name. The address at which the author actually did the work should be retained as the main, affiliation address. Superscript Arabic numerals are used for footnotes.

Abstract

The abstract (about 150 words) should be informative, concisely stating the purpose of the work and a clear indication of the nature and range of the results contained in the paper.

Keywords

Immediately after the abstract, provide a maximum of 6 keywords, using American English. Avoiding general and plural terms and multiple concepts (avoid, for example, "and" and "or" with abbreviations: only abbreviations firmly established in the field may be eligible for use). These will be used for indexing purposes.

Subdivision - numbered sections

Divide your article into clearly defined and numbered sections. Subsections should be numbered 1.1 (then 1.1.1, 1.1.2, ...), 1.2, etc. (the abstract is not included in section numbering). Use this numbering also for internal cross-referencing: do not just refer to 'the text'. Any subsection may be given a brief heading. Each heading should appear on its own separate line.

Introduction

The introduction should define clearly the nature of the problem being considered. Reference should be made to previously published pertinent papers, accenting the major original contributions.

Material and methods

Provide sufficient detail to allow the work to be reproduced. Methods already published should be indicated by a reference: only relevant modifications should be described.

Theory/calculation

A Theory section should extend, not repeat, the background to the article already dealt with in the Introduction and lay the foundation for further work. In contrast, a Calculation section represents a practical development from a theoretical basis.

Results

Results should be clear and concise.

Discussion

This should explore the significance of the results of the work, not repeat them. A combined Results and Discussion section is often appropriate. Avoid extensive citations and discussion of published literature.

Conclusions

The main conclusions of the study should be presented in a short Conclusions section.

Appendices

If there is more than one appendix, they should be identified as A, B, etc. Formulae and equations in appendices should be given separate numbering: Eq. (A.1), Eq. (A.2), etc.; in a subsequent appendix, Eq. (B.1) and so on. Similarly for tables and figures: Table A.1; Fig. A.1, etc.

Highlights

Highlights are mandatory for this journal. They consist of a short collection of bullet points that convey the core findings of the article and should be submitted in a separate editable file in the online submission system. Please use 'Highlights' in the file name and include 3 to 5 bullet points (maximum 85 characters, including spaces, per bullet point). You can view [example Highlights](#) on our information site.

Abbreviations

Define abbreviations that are not standard in this field in a footnote to be placed on the first page of the article. Such abbreviations that are unavoidable in the abstract must be defined at their first mention there, as well as in the footnote. Ensure consistency of abbreviations throughout the article.

Acknowledgements

Collate acknowledgements in a separate section at the end of the article before the references and do not, therefore, include them on the title page, as a footnote to the title or otherwise. List here those individuals who provided help during the research (e.g., providing language help, writing assistance or proof reading the article, etc.).

Formatting of funding sources

List funding sources in this standard way to facilitate compliance to funder's requirements:

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [grant numbers xxxx, yyyy]; the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Seattle, WA [grant number zzzz]; and the United States Institutes of Peace [grant number aaaa].

It is not necessary to include detailed descriptions on the program or type of grants and awards. When funding is from a block grant or other resources available to a university, college, or other research institution, submit the name of the institute or organization that provided the funding.

If no funding has been provided for the research, please include the following sentence:

Apa yang diinginkan editor jurnal?

Ilmu yang berkualitas baik!



- Mampu melalui saringan pemeriksa (reviewer)
- Hasil penelitian original
- Menarik bagi pembaca jurnal
- Area penelitian aktif (menghasilkan banyak sitasi)
- Tulisan ringkas dan jelas

Etika publikasi



JANGAN...

- ☐ Mengirim artikel yang sama kepada lebih dari 1 jurnal
- ☐ Plagiat
- ☐ Kontribusi penulis yang tidak benar
- ☐ Pemalsuan dan rekayasa data
- ☐ Penggunaan subyek manusia dan hewan yang tidak sah

Sebelum mengirimkan naskah ke editor

Lihatlah daftar kelengkapan, misalnya:

- Cover Letter
- Copy right transfer form
- Teks ditulis sesuai dengan format jurnal
- Angka dan tabel terbaca dan sesuai
- Referensi ditulis sesuai dengan format jurnal

Manuscript Submission Checklist

✓ Manuscript format

Follow all journal instructions

Word count, page margins, page numbering, spacing, in-text citations, references, abstract, manuscript format (Word/LaTeX/PDF)



✓ Manuscript structure

Get your manuscript structure right


Title, keywords, abstract, introduction, methods, results and discussion, conclusion, references, appendix, acknowledgements, notes, footnotes



✓ Language and flow

Your manuscript should be well-written

Sentence structure, grammar and style, logic, comprehension, word choice, spell check



✓ Graphics

Your tables and figures should be well-prepared

File size, clarity, color, image resolution, figure legends and captions, table titles, graph legends



✓ Facts and details

Check every detail, even the smaller ones

Data accuracy, numerical consistency between text and tables, symbols, units of measurement, correspondence between in-text citations and the reference list



✓ Author information

Include all relevant details

Full names of all authors, with designations, full contact details of the corresponding author, additional statement with author contribution details, if required



✓ Attribution and acknowledgment

Acknowledge all help received and each reference source

Attributing sources of information, quotation marks for material copied or reproduced, written permission for reproducing graphics or copyrighted material, acknowledgments, informed consent from patients/subjects



✓ Cover letter

Your cover letter should be complete and make a strong pitch

Manuscript relevance and impact, full author details, disclosure of whether you have published the study previously elsewhere or if it is in consideration by another journal, details of any supplementary material



✓ Conflict of interest

Disclose any potential conflict of interest

Could there be a potential conflict of interest from any perspective (e.g., with sponsoring pharma companies in the case of medical research)? If yes, disclose it at the time of submission



✓ Compliance

Make sure your study complies with relevant ethical guidelines

E.g., Patient and animal care guidelines, clinical trial registration guidelines, environmental protection guidelines, institutional review board approval



Cover letters

- Kompetisi untuk ruang publikasi dan perhatian para editor sangat tinggi
- Tidak cukup mengirim manuskrip ke editor jurnal dengan surat pengantar seperti di bawah ini:

Dear Editor-in-Chief,

I am sending you our manuscript entitled “Techniques to detect circoviruses in Brazilian bird species” by Raye et al.

We would like to have the manuscript considered for publication in Virology Methods Online. Please let me know of your decision at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely yours,

Warren Raye, PhD

Cover letters



- Alamat ditujukan ke editor secara pribadi
- Nyatakan judul naskah dan jenis publikasi Anda
- Berikan latar belakang singkat, dasar pemikiran dan deskripsi hasil
Anda
- Jelaskan pentingnya temuan Anda dan mengapa mereka menarik bagi
khalayak sasaran jurnal

Cover letters

Dear Dr Lisberger,

Please find enclosed our manuscript entitled “Amyloid-like inclusions in the brains of Huntington’s disease patients”, by McGowan et al., which we would like to submit for publication as a Research Paper in *Neuroscience*.

Recent immunohistochemical studies have revealed the presence of neuronal inclusions containing an N-terminal portion of the mutant huntingtin protein and ubiquitin in the brain tissues of Huntington’s disease (HD) patients; however, the role of these inclusions in the disease process has remained unclear. One suspected disease-causing mechanism in Huntington’s disease and other polyglutamine disorders is the potential for the mutant protein to undergo a conformational change to a more stable anti-parallel β -sheet structure...

**Give the
background to
the research**

To confirm if the immunohistochemically observed huntingtin- and ubiquitin-containing inclusions display amyloid features, we performed Congo red staining and both polarizing and confocal microscopy on post-mortem human brain tissues obtained from five HD patients, two AD patients, and two normal controls. Congo red staining revealed a small number of amyloid-like inclusions showing green birefringence by polarized microscopy, in a variety of cortical regions.... ..detected inclusions observed in parallel sections, suggesting that only a relatively small proportion of inclusions in HD adopt an amyloid-like structure.

**What was done
and what was
found**

We believe our findings would appeal to a broad audience, such as the readership of *Neuroscience*. As a wide-reaching journal publishing original research on all aspects of neuroscience...

**Interest to
journal’s readers**

We confirm that this manuscript has not been published elsewhere and is not under consideration by another journal. All authors have approved the manuscript and agree with submission to *Neuroscience*. We have read and have abided by the statement of ethical standards for manuscripts submitted to *Neuroscience*. The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

**Conforms to
journal
requirements**

K016752
May 26, 2015

Prof. E. Wachsman
Editor-in-Chief
International Journal of Ionics

Dear Prof. Wachsman:

I am pleased to submit an original review entitled "Effects of Flow Field Design on Water Management and Reactant Distribution in PEMFC Stacks: A Review" by B.H. Lim, E.H. Majlan, W.R.W. Daud, T. Husaini, and M.I. Rosli for consideration for publication as a review in International Journal of Ionics.

Fuel cells have been attracting increasing research attention as a result of the growing global energy demand and the depletion of fossil fuels. In this review, we thoroughly discuss recent research results that have been reported regarding the effects of different flow field designs on water management and reactant distribution in proton exchange membrane (PEMFC) stacks. We discuss the characteristics of four different flow fields in depth (namely, parallel, serpentine, interdigitated, and bio-inspired flow fields), and we discuss the effects of the flow field design on water management and reactant distribution.

We believe that this manuscript is appropriate for publication in International Journal of Ionics because it provides a comprehensive summary of recent research results regarding flow field designs in PEMFC stacks. This review will not only be of interest to readers of International Journal of Ionics but also to a broad readership with various backgrounds, and this review will help guide the future development of PEMFCs for various applications.

This manuscript has not been published and is not under consideration for publication elsewhere. We have no conflicts of interest to disclose. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript. Thank you for your consideration, and we look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,



Assoc. Prof. Dr. Edy Herianto Majlan

K016752
August 1, 2017

Prof. Dr. A.R. Hillman
Editor-in-Chief
Electrochimica Acta

Dear Prof:


I am pleased to submit a manuscript entitled "Effect of KOH-ZnO doping on the conductivity and structure of a PVA-based solid polymer electrolyte for aluminium-air battery applications" by Marliyana Mokhtar, Edy Herianto Majlan, Azizan Ahmad, Meor Zainal Meor Talib, Siti Masrinda Tasirin, and Wan Ramli Wan Daud for consideration for publication as a full-length article in Electrochimica Acta.

Aluminum-Air Batteries have been attracting increasing research attention because of the growing global energy demand and storages. However, there are issues with its self-corrosion and electrolyte leakage that have prevented the Aluminium-air battery from commercialization. Hence, by introducing the combination of a polymer electrolyte and a corrosion inhibitor indirectly will reduce the challenges faced by current Aluminium-air batteries. In this manuscript, we discussed the effect of KOH-ZnO doping on a PVA-based film and the optimum parameters based on the ionic conductivities and crystalline structures. The electrochemical cell performance of this system was evaluated based on the discharge rates of Aluminium-air coin cells, which is also discussed.

We believe that this manuscript is appropriate for publication in Electrochimica Acta because it will help guide the future development of Aluminium-Air batteries.

This manuscript has not been published and is not under consideration for publication elsewhere. We have no conflicts of interest to disclose. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript. Thank you for your consideration, and we look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,



Assoc. Prof. Dr. Edy Herianto Majlan

Izin untuk Mereproduksi Materi yang telah dipublikasi

Penggunaan gambar, tabel atau sebagian besar paragraf dari artikel jurnal, buku, publikasi lain - perlu mendapat izin

Ada 2 isu yang perlu diperhatikan:

1. isu etis

- tulis sumber referensi

2. Masalah hukum - perlu mendapat izin dari:

- penerbit (situs penerbit, cari "Permission")
- penulis (hubungi via email atau tulis surat)

Penulis bertanggung jawab untuk mendapatkan izin dan bukan penerbit



Elsevier

http://elsevier.com/wps/find/obtainpermissionform.cws_home/obtain Loading... X Google

Elsevier

ELSEVIER Home Products Alerts User Resources About Us Support & Contact Elsevier Websites

Search: ☐ Product Information ☐ All Elsevier Sites [Advanced Product Search](#)

Products

Support & contact

Help and FAQs

[Help overview](#)

[Journal customers](#)

[Books customers](#)

[E-products](#)

[Authors, editors & reviewers](#)

[Advertisers](#)

[Permissions](#)

Contact Information

[Support & sales offices](#)

[Specific contacts](#)

[Elsevier locations](#)

Site Map

About Elsevier

User Resources

OBTAIN PERMISSION

Please provide us with your personal details so we can contact you

Fields indicated with * are compulsory and must be filled in!

E-mail*:

Title:

Given name/initials*:

Last name*:

Institute/company*:

Address*:

Post/Zip Code*:

City*:

Country*:

Fax:

Telephone:



Home > Authors > Journal Authors > Submit your paper

Publishing with Elsevier: step-by-step

- 1 Find a journal
- 2 Prepare your paper
- 3 Submit and revise
- 4 Track your submission
- 5 Track your accepted article
- 6 Sharing and promoting

PUBLISHING




PEER REVIEW

- Sangat sedikit manuskrip yang diterima tanpa revisi
- Revisi kedua (bahkan ketiga) biasa terjadi
- Penolakan dan revisi merupakan bagian integral dari proses peer review
- Peer review adalah proses yang positif




PEER REVIEW



Peer Review

Very few papers are immediately accepted without need for any revisions




Alasan ditolak



- Gagal menyatakan hipotesis
- Tidak menjawab hipotesis
- Kontradiksi dalam manuskrip
- Diskusi dangkal atau bertele-tele
- Penggunaan istilah yang tidak konsisten
- Kesimpulan tidak didukung oleh data

Peer Review – Apa yang dilihat oleh *reviewer*

- Apakah manuskrip itu cukup baru?
- Apakah manuskrip itu cukup luas diminati?




Reviewer – Tentang manuskrip

- Apakah alasan dan tujuan didefinisikan?
- Apakah cukup latar belakang yang diberikan untuk memahami alasannya?
- Mungkinkah peneliti lain mampu mereproduksi eksperimen?
- Apakah hasilnya jelas dan dalam format terbaik?
- Apakah temuan tersebut dijelaskan dalam konteks?
- Apakah keterbatasan dibicarakan?
- Apakah kesimpulan didukung?
- Apakah literatur yang dikutip sesuai?
- Apakah ada kontradiksi dalam manuskrip?



Revisi – Bagaimana Menjawab

- Dengan sopan santun menanggapi semua komentar pengulas (reviewer) ketika menulis surat tanggapan
- Buat menjadi mudah untuk melihat revisi yang telah dibuat
- Jawaban harus merujuk kepada nomor baris dan halaman
- Gunakan Font warna berbeda



Revisi – Bagaimana Menjawab

- Pertimbangkan eksperimen tambahan jika disarankan
- Jika tidak setuju dengan reviewer, bisa memberikan bukti dalam sanggahan Anda dengan mengutip referensi
- Patuhi tenggat waktu



Surat Tanggapan - Revisi

Dear Dr. _____: [address the editor by name] Thank you for your consideration of our manuscript entitled _____ [insert article title here]. We have reviewed the comments of the reviewers and have thoroughly revised the manuscript. We found the comments helpful, and believe our revised manuscript represents a significant improvement over our initial submission.

In response to the reviewers' suggestions we have [summarize the key changes here]

Surat Tanggapan - Revisi

[After the introduction to the response, address **all reviewer points individually.**]

Reviewer Comment: *In your analysis of the data you have chosen to use a somewhat obscure fitting function (regression). In my opinion, a simple Gaussian function would have sufficed. Moreover, the results would be more instructive and easier to compare to previous results.*

Response: **We agree with the reviewer's assessment of the analysis.** Our tailored function makes it impossible to fully interpret the data in terms of the prevailing theories. In addition, in its current form it would be difficult to tell that this measurement constitutes a significant improvement over previously reported values. **We have redone the analysis using a Gaussian fitting function.**

Surat Tanggapan - Revisi

Reviewer Comment: *In your analysis of the data you have chosen to use a somewhat obscure fitting function (regression). In my opinion, a simple Gaussian function would have sufficed. Moreover, the results would be more instructive and easier to compare to previous results.*

Response: We agree with the reviewer that a simple Gaussian fit would facilitate comparison with the results of other studies. However, our tailored function allows for the analysis of the data in terms of the Smith model [Smith et al, 1998]. We have added two sentences to the paper (page 3, lines 10–12) to explain the use of this function and Smith's model.

Surat Tanggapan - Revisi

[Often, a review comment that is incorrect will still identify a part of the paper that needs more explanation.]

Original: We then fit the data to a super-Gaussian. From this, we extracted the reaction time [Smith et al. 1998].

Revised: We then fit the data to a super-Gaussian. We elected to use this function to facilitate analysis using the Smith model [Smith et al. 1998].

According to the Smith model, the reaction time is dependent on the intensity and width of the fitted peak. Using this model, we extracted the reaction time.

REVIEWERS COMMENTS

1st Reviewer's comments

NO	COMMENTS	JUSTIFICATION
1	This work presents an overview of recently developed materials for aluminum-air batteries to be used in various elements. Its binary and tertiary alloys demonstrate improved battery performance. With regard to electrolytes, several types have been considered: aqueous, non-aqueous, aprotic solvent and solid-state electrolytes. The future research trends related to this type of battery have also been indicated. But the content only is listed some articles without comparative, analysis, summarized and so on. The manuscript is quite crude. For my opinion major revisions are necessary for its publication in Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry. The following points should be considered:	Some addition/elaboration/explanation has been made: 1.Section 2.3: Refer to page 5-9 2.Section 3.4: Refer to page 12-20 3.Section 4.0: Refer to page 20 4.Section 4.4: Refer to page 30-32
2	The manuscript did not show the page number.	The page number errors are corrected accordingly.
3	Figure and Table should put into the last with a separate. (Include the title	All figures and tables have been put at the last part of the manuscript and the

2nd Reviewer's comments

NO	COMMENTS	JUSTIFICATION
10	The "Graphical Abstract" seems some wrong words.	The spelling and words has been checked.
11	In "Abstract", first display abbreviation need to reveal the whole name.	New page : 1 Correction has been made.
12	Please re-check the whole manuscript especially in words, sentences, and symbols.	Manuscript has been re-checked.

4th Reviewer's comments

NO	COMMENTS	JUSTIFICATION
13	This work presents that the aluminum-air battery is an attractive candidate as a metal-air battery because of its high theoretical electrochemical value. Its binary and tertiary alloys demonstrate improved battery performance. With regard to electrolytes, several types have been considered: aqueous, non-aqueous, aprotic solvent and solid-state electrolytes. The future research trends related to this type of battery have also been indicated. But the paper only showed some articles without analysis, summarized and so on. The manuscript is quite crude and format is very chaos. For my opinion major revisions are necessary for its publication in Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry. The following points should be considered:	Some addition/elaboration/explanation has been made: 1.Section 2.3: Refer to page 5-9 2.Section 3.4: Refer to page 12-20 3.Section 4.0: Refer to page 20 4.Section 4.4: Refer to page 30-32
14	It is lack of the page number.	The page number errors are corrected accordingly.
15	Figure and Table should be the last with a separate. (Include the title of Figure and Table)	All figures and tables have been put at the last part of the manuscript and the arrangement in separate pages for each. <u>Figures:</u> Figure 1: Refer to page 44 Figure 2: Refer to page 45

BAHASA – Meminimalkan kesalahan

- ✓ Google Scholar to check for word usage
- ✓ Purdue University Online Writing Lab
- ✓ Target journal's instructions
- ✓ Track changes and Comment functions
- ✓ Find (and replace) to check for consistency
- ✓ Word Count
- ✓ Spell Check (be careful)
- ✓ Custom Dictionaries and Online Glossaries (provided by some academic societies)



English Proofreading



AMERICAN JOURNAL EXPERTS

EDITORIAL CERTIFICATE

This document certifies that the manuscript listed below was edited for proper English language, grammar, punctuation, spelling, and overall style by one or more of the highly qualified native English speaking editors at American Journal Experts.

Manuscript title:

Flow uniformity in a proton exchange membrane fuel cell

Authors:

B.H. Lim, E.H. Majlan, W.R.W. Daud, M.I. Rosli, T. Husaini

Date Issued:

May 17, 2017

Certificate Verification Key:

8B9F-778C-427A-DBC0-4278



This certificate may be verified at www.aje.com/certificate. This document certifies that the manuscript listed above was edited for proper English language, grammar, punctuation, spelling, and overall style by one or more of the highly qualified native English speaking editors at American Journal Experts. Neither the research content nor the authors' intentions were altered in any way during the editing process. Documents receiving this certification should be English-ready for publication; however, the author has the ability to accept or reject our suggestions and changes. To verify the final AJE edited version, please visit our [verification page](#). If you have any questions or concerns about this edited document, please contact American Journal Experts at support@aje.com.

American Journal Experts provides a range of editing, translation and manuscript services for researchers and publishers around the world. Our top-quality PhD editors are all native English speakers from America's top universities. Our editors come from nearly every research field and possess the highest qualifications to edit research manuscripts written by non-native English speakers. For more information about our company, services and partner discounts, please visit www.aje.com.

AJE: www.aje.com

KGSupport: www.kgsupport.com

Summary

Checklist for acceptance

- Studi yang dirancang dengan tepat
- Mematuhi pedoman etika
- Temuan dan hasil yang menarik
- Uji statistik yang benar
- Tulisannya jelas, ringkas dan akurat
- Signifikansi temuan dijelaskan
- Pilihan jurnal yang tepat
- Kepatuhan dengan “Panduan untuk Penulis”





Thank You



<https://www.facebook.com/edyhm71>



edyhm71@gmail.com



+60166802295



+60166802295/+6282189280306